

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,293	08/18/2003	Ted Marchildon	O120 0009	2002	
7590 03/31/2004		EXAMINER			
David J. McGruder OYEN WIGGS GREEN & MUTALA			NGUYEN, SON T		
#480 - 601 West Cordova Street Vancouver, BC V6B 1G1 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3643	3643 DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	10/642,293	MARCHILDON, TED				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son T. Nguyen	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with the period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 Au</u>	nust 2003	*				
(action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	. parto quayio, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	3 O.G. 213.				
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.		*				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-7 and 11-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) ☑ Claim(s) 1 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) 1-16 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and/or el	ection requirement.	Pun (xm 36.43				
Application Papers		- 100 y				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		10001 01 101111 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	. The seramed sepies not received	4.				
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. [7]					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/18/03	5) Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	on Cummon.					

Application/Control Number: 10/642,293

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: as shown in figs. 3,4(a).

Species II: as shown in figs. 3,4(b).

Species III: as shown in figs. 3,4(c).

Species IV: as shown in figs. 3,4(d).

Species V: as shown in figs. 5,6,7(a),7(b).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, there is a generic claim.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with David McGruder on 3/19/04 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of species IV, claims 1,8-10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 2-7,11-16 have been withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the base for supporting the cylindrical structure, the light inside the structure, and the means for watering must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/642,293

Art Unit: 3643

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how the edges [of the circumferential surface) to be inserted into and removed from sliding engagement because is this the edges of the container or the surface, if the surface then how can these edges be removed?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1,8,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6604321 on form PTO-1449 (herein 321).

For claim 1, 321 discloses a rotary hydroponic plant-growing machine comprising a cylindrical structure 12; a base 14; means 24,26 for rotating the cylindrical structure on the base; a light 30 inside the structure; and means 68,70 for watering the plants on the structure as the structure rotates. In addition, 321 discloses the structure comprises a circumferential surface which defines a plurality of longitudinal slots 60 to receive the plant containers.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,293

Art Unit: 3643

For claim 8, 321 discloses wherein edges (edges of ref. 56 and not edges of ref. 60) of the circumferential surface adjacent to the longitudinal slots are adapted to slidingly engage between a pair of outwardly extending flanges 62,64 on the container 58 and hold the container in the slot.

For claim 10, 321 discloses one or more containers 58.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 321 (as above) in view of US 5097627 (herein 627). 627 teaches a hydroponic plant growing device comprising plant container 32 having flanges (see fig. 8 at ref. 80) inserted into a longitudinal slot (see fig. 5 where ref. 80 is pointing at), the slot defines at least one opening (see fig. 5 where ref. 79,82 are pointing at) sized to allow insertion of the plant container 32 therein.). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the flanges 62,64 inserted into slots 60 of 321 with flanges (see fig. 8 at ref. 80) inserted into slot (see fig. 5 where ref. 80 is pointing at) with opening (see fig. 5 where ref. 79,82 are pointing at) as taught by 627, since both types of plant container attachment would serve to hold the container onto the structure.

Art Unit: 3643

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Son T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0765. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon, can be reached at (703) 308-2574. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service at (703) 872-9325. The official fax number is 703-872-9306.

Son T. Nguyen

Primary Examiner, GAU 3643

March 29, 2004